

Committee: Licensing Sub-Committee	Date: 13 October 2015	Classification: UNRESTRICTED	Report No. LSC 13/156	Agenda Item No.
--	--------------------------	--	--------------------------	-----------------

Report of: David Tolley Head of Consumer and Business Relations	Title: Licensing Act 2003 Application to review the premises licence for Aladin Restaurant, 132 Brick Lane, London E1 6RU
Originating Officer: Kathy Driver Principal Licensing Officer	Ward affected: Spitalfields and Banglatown

1.0 Summary

Name and Address of premises:	Aladin 132 Brick Lane London E1 6RU
Licence under review:	Licensing Act 2003 - Premises licence <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provision of late night refreshment ▪ Regulated Entertainment
Review triggered by: Representations by:	Met Police Trading Standards Licensing Authority Local Residents Association

2.0 Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Licensing Committee considers the application for review and then adjudicates accordingly.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT 2000 (Section 97)
LIST OF "BACKGROUND PAPERS" USED IN THE DRAFTING OF THIS REPORT

Brief description of "background paper"	Tick if copy supplied for register	If not supplied, name and telephone number of holder
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guidance Issued under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 • Tower Hamlets Licensing Policy • File 		Kathy Driver 020 7364 5171

3.0 **Review Application**

3.1 This is an application for a review of the premises licence for Aladin Restaurant, 132 Brick Lane, London E1 6RU. The review was triggered by the Metropolitan Police on the 17th June 2015.

3.2 A copy of the review application is attached in **Appendix 1**.

3.3 Further evidence from the Police has been produced for this review, these are produced as restricted items due to ongoing investigations. Please see separate **Appendices 10-14**.

4.0 **The Premises**

4.1 The premises licence was issued on 24th February 2006. The current licence holder, Aladin Brick Lane Ltd has been in place since 14th August 2014. The licensable activities authorised by this licence is late night refreshment and recorded music only. A copy of the current licence is contained in **Appendix 2**.

4.2 Maps showing the premises are included in **Appendix 3**.

5.0 **Representations**

5.1 This hearing is required by the Licensing Act 2003, because a review has been triggered by the Metropolitan Police. The review is further supported by Trading Standards.

5.2 Members are asked to disregard page 22 of the review document, the historic information of previous reviews is not relevant to these premises.

5.3 Please see **Appendix 4** for the representation of Trading Standards.

5.4 Please see **Appendix 5** for Licensing Authority representation

5.5 Representations have been made by local resident representing local resident association. See **Appendix 6**.

5.6 Only representations that relate to the following licensing objectives are relevant:

- the prevention of crime and disorder
- public safety
- the prevention of public nuisance
- the protection of children from harm

5.7 In the view of the responsible authorities it is necessary to achieve the licensing objectives of the prevention of public nuisance, prevention of crime & disorder and the protection of children from harm..

6.0 Review Explained

- 6.1 The Licensing Act 2003 was described by the Government at the time as “light touch” but as Baroness Blackstone stated in the Lords at the time of the second reading “Local residents and businesses as well as expert bodies, will have the power to request that the licensing authority review existing licences where problems arise. Such a review could result in the modification of the licence, its suspension, or ultimately, revocation.”
- 6.2 The Home Office has issued guidance under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 in relation to reviews and that is contained in **Appendix 7**. It is available on the Government’s website, www.homeoffice.gov.uk. It was last revised March 2015.
- 6.3 Members are particularly asked to note the comments in relation Crime and Disorder. In particular the home office advice is that “The role of the licensing authority when determining such a review is not therefore to establish the guilt or innocence of any individual but to ensure that the crime prevention objective is promoted.”
- 6.4 In relation to its advice on representations the home office has also advised that “there is no requirement for an interested party or responsible authority to produce a recorded history of problems at a premises to support their representations.” It has also issued revised guidance about Crime and Disorder, and the pool of conditions which might be considered in relation to any identified problems. **See Appendix 8**.
- 6.5 Members should also note the Council’s Licensing Policy in relation to Crime and Disorder, the relevant parts of which are contained in **Appendix 9**.
- 6.6 The Home Office has advised that in relation to reviews “It is important to recognise that the promotion of licensing objectives relies heavily on a partnership between licence holders, authorised persons, interested parties and responsible authorities in pursuit of common aims. It is therefore equally important that reviews are not used to drive a wedge between those groups in a way that would undermine the benefits of co-operation. It would be good practice for authorised persons and responsible authorities to give licence holders early warning of their concerns about problems identified at the premises concerned and of the need for improvement. It is expected that a failure to respond to such warnings would lead to a decision to request a review.”

- 6.8 An interested party or a responsible authority can trigger a review at any time, but the grounds must be relevant to the licensing objectives. The form of the application, and the advertisement of the review are the subject of regulations (The Licensing Act 2003 (Premises Licences and Club Premises Certificate) Regulations 2005). In addition, the licensing authority has to satisfy itself of certain matters in relation to the Licensing Act 2003.
- 6.9 The Licensing Act 2003 requires that the Licensing Authority satisfies itself that it should reject the grounds for a review because:
- The ground is not relevant to one or more of the licensing objectives
 - In the case of an application by a local resident that the application is frivolous, vexatious or repetitious.

7.0 Review Advertisement

- 7.1 The review was advertised by a blue poster, next to the premises, by the Licensing Section. This was periodically monitored by the Section to ensure it was on continuous display, and replaced as necessary. It was also advertised at Mulberry Place, 5 Clove Crescent, London E14 2BG.
- 7.2 The party that triggers the review must notify the licence holder and responsible authorities. The review documents were sent to the relevant parties.
- 7.3 The procedure for a review can be summarised as follows:
- A review is triggered by a responsible authority or interested party
 - Consultation is conducted for 28 full days
 - Other responsible authorities or interested parties may join in the review
 - Members conduct a hearing
 - Members make a determination
 - All the parties to the review have the right of appeal to the magistrates court (i.e. the licence holder, the person who triggered the review and those who have made a representation).

8.0 Licensing Officer Comments

- 8.1 The Governments advice in relation to reviews is contained in **Appendix 5**. Members must consider all the evidence and then decide from the following alternatives:
- Take no further action as they do not consider it proportionate to do so
 - Impose conditions (including altering existing permissions) that relate to problems which they consider have been identified and

which are necessary and proportionate to ensure that the licensing objectives are met

- Suspend the licence for a period
- Revoke the licence completely

8.2 The licence should only be suspended or revoked if Members believe that alterations to the existing licence, including imposing new conditions does not have a reasonable prospect of ensuring that the licensing objectives are met.

8.3 Members should bear in mind that conditions may not be imposed for any purpose other than to meet the licensing objectives.

8.4 In all cases the Members should make their decision on the civil burden of proof that is “the balance of probability.”

8.5 In all cases Members should consider whether or not primary legislation is the appropriate method of regulation and should only consider licence conditions when the circumstances in their view are not already adequately covered elsewhere.

9.0 **Legal Comments**

9.1 The Council’s legal officer will give advice at the hearing.

10.0 **Finance Comments**

10.1 There are no financial implications in this report.

11.0 Appendices

- | | |
|--------------------|--|
| Appendix 1 | Copy of the review application |
| Appendix 2 | Current Premises Licence |
| Appendix 3 | Maps of the premises and surrounding area |
| Appendix 4 | Representation of Trading Standards |
| Appendix 5 | Representation of Licensing Authority |
| Appendix 6 | Representation from Local Residents Association |
| Appendix 7 | Guidance issued under Section 182 by the Home Office for reviews |
| Appendix 8 | Guidance Issued by the Home Office under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 concerning Crime and Disorder |
| Appendix 9 | London Borough of Tower Hamlets Licensing Policy in relation to the prevention of Crime and Disorder |
| Appendix 10 | Further Police evidence (restricted items) |